

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/669,500 | 09/24/2003 | Naoto Moriyama | 03578/LH | 3990 | |
| 1933 FRISHAUF H | 7590 05/09/200 IOLTZ, GOODMAN & | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708 | | | BITAR, NANCY | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | 2624 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/09/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/669,500 | MORIYAMA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| NANCY BITAR | 2624 | | |

| | NANCY BITAR | 2624 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>2</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of otermining the period of exhauster. Any CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 7. \(\times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | | | | | |
| see continution 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| | /Andrew W. Johns/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2624 | | | | | |

Applicant argues that the image modalities of Kanada do not correspond to the obtaining a bar code of a cassette as claimed. Examiner points out to Kanada where it teaches the examination order information and the image taken on the date (in the includes the date of examination the ID number of a patient or a modality code (column 16, lines 5-8). Therefore, the identification information of the cassette is the medium code. Moreover, Applicant argues that Kanada does not teach the correlation of the identification information of the cassette and the radiographing order information. Kanada teaches that limitation in column 18, lines 35-40 where the image server 14 compares the patient ID number associated with image data 40 sent from the image recording modality 12 or 13 with the patient ID number contained in the information of the patient sent from the radiogly department information system (RIS), and dermines a delivery destination of the image data. Moreover, Kanada take an additional picture "on the day" than compares it to an already stored image. Examiner believes that the image take on the day is osoldered renewing the image.